



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/610,492	06/30/2003	Sean Hayes	MS1-1548US	5386	
22801	22801 7590 02/13/2006			EXAMINER	
LEE & HAYES PLLC 421 W RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500 SPOKANE, WA 99201			COBY, F	COBY, FRANTZ	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2161	2161	

DATE MAILED: 02/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	10/610,492	HAYES ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Frantz Coby	2161
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	ON. timety filed on the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 J 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowated the closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the conditi	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, p	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-47 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-47 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposite and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So tion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:      1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica nity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>03-04-05</u> .	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/610,492 Page 2

Art Unit: 2161

This is in response to application filed on June 30, 2003 in which claims 1-47 are presented for examination.

## Status of Claims

Claims 1-47 are pending.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed on March 04, 2005 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609. It has been placed in the application file and the information referred to therein has been considered as to the merits.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-17 and 19-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is not supported by either a machine asserted utility or a well established utility. In particular, the claims are directed to non-statutory subject matter, specifically, as directed to an abstract idea.

As per claims 1-17 and 19-32, the language of the claims raise a question as to whether the claims are directed merely to an abstract idea that is not tied to a technological art, environment or machine which would result in a practical application

Art Unit: 2161

producing a concrete, useful, and tangible result to form the basis of statutory subject matter under 35 U.S.C. 101.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-11 and 14-18,19, 20-26, 30-33, 34, 35-39, 40, 41-42, 43-44, 45-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cohen et al. U.S. Patent no. 5,367,621.

As per claims 1, 19, 34, 40 43, and 45 Cohen et al. disclose a method comprising "referencing one or more multimedia objects through a first set of one or more elements" by providing a generalized link in a data processing system to enable referencing form a point within an organized hierarchy of an on-line softcopy text to an arbitrary multimedia object" (See Cohen et al. Title, Abstract; Col. 1, lines 63-66; Col. 2, lines 13-16). In particular, Cohen et al. disclose the claimed limitations of "associating the first set of one or more elements with a second set of one or more elements" by providing mechanisms that contains link description which contains multimedia type information, object location information and multimedia control information for a target multimedia object (See Cohen et al. Col. 2, lines 19-26; Figure 3a, Col. 8, lines 27).

Also, Cohen et al. disclose the claimed feature of "arranging the second set of one or more elements to indicate timing for the multimedia objects referenced by the first set of one or more elements" (See Cohen et al. Figures 7a-7b, Col. 11, line 30-Col. 14, line 20).

As per claims 2-11 and 14-18, most of the limitations of these claims have been noted in the rejection of claims 1, 19, 34, 40, 43 and 45. In addition, Cohen et al. disclose the claimed features of "wherein the referencing is performed by pointers in the first set of one objects or more elements that point to the multimedia" since variables that contain memory locations of some other data is disclose (See Cohen et al. Figure 6; Col. 10, lines 12-61); "wherein the referencing and associating are performed by the same document" (See Cohen et al. Col. 2, line 10-Col. 3, line 15); "wherein the arranging is performed through a time container that defines the second set of one or more elements" (See Cohen et al. Figures 7a-7b, Col. 11, line 30-Col. 14, line 20); "wherein the time container is defined by SMIL conventions; wherein the time container defines that the elements of the second set of one or more elements are rendered at the same time" (See Cohen et al. Figures 7a-7b, Col. 11, line 30-Col. 14, line 20); "wherein the time container defines that the elements of the second set of one or more elements are rendered one after another in an ordered list" (See Cohen Figures 8a-8b); "wherein the time container defines that the element of the second set of one or more elements are rendered exclusive of one another"; "rendering of the multimedia objects based on the arranging of the second set of one or more elements with a third set of one or more

Application/Control Number: 10/610,492 Page 5

Art Unit: 2161

elements"; "associating the second set of one or more elements with a third set of one or more elements"; "referencing is performed by a first document and the associating is performed by a second document" (See Cohen et al. Figures 6-8 and corresponding text); "receiving an input to initiate an event affecting an element in the first set of one or more elements and providing a proxy element in the second set of elements that is configured to reference application of the event" (See Cohen et al. Figures 4-5; Col. 8, line 61-Col. 10, line 11); "wherein the arranging is performed through a time container that defines the second set of one or more elements"; "wherein the time container is defined by SMIL convention"; "a multimedia device"; and a personal computer that performs the method of claims (See Cohen et al. Figures 1-8).

As per claims 20-26, 30-33, 35-39, 41-42, 44, 46-47, all the limitations of these claims have been noted in the rejection of claims 2-11 and 14-18 above. They are therefore rejected as set forth above.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 12-13 and 27-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cohen et al. U.S. Patent no. 5,367,621 in view of Wan U.S. Patent no. 2004/0024898 A1.

As per claims 12-13 and 27-29, most of the limitations of these claims have been noted in the rejection of claims 1, 19, 34, 40, 43 and 45 above.

Although Cohen et al. describes documents that are written in general markup language (GML) and style sheet; it is noted, however Cohen et al. did not specifically detail the aspects of <u>documents that are written in XML</u> as recited in the instant claims. On the other hand, Wan achieved the aforementioned claimed feature by providing a multimedia environment wherein multimedia documents are linked and written in XML (See Wan Title, Abstract; Pages 1-2, Paragraphs 0009-0024).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the data processing method of Cohen et al. by substituting the link tags written in SGML with link tags written in XML, the motivation being to provide a multimedia data processing method including documents written in XML.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frantz Coby whose telephone number is 571 272 4017. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Saturday 3:00PM-10: 30PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/610,492 Page 7

Art Unit: 2161

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on 571 272 4023. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

FRANTZ-COBY
PRIMARY EXAMINER

February 3, 2006